

A random quantum key distribution by using *Bell* states

Chong Li*, He-Shan Song, Ling Zhou
Department of Physics, Dalian University of Technology,
Dalian 116024, PR China

Chun-Feng Wu
Department of Physics, Faculty of Science,
National University of Singapore, 119260, Singapore

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We proposed a new scheme for quantum key distribution based on entanglement swapping. By this protocol *Alice* can securely share a random quantum key with *Bob*, without transporting any particle.

1 Introduction

The main goals of cryptography are securely supplying a key to two parties and detecting eavesdroppers. These goals cannot be achieved classically, but they can be overcome by quantum cryptography. Since *BB84* protocol [1], the first quantum cryptography scheme, was published, various quantum encryption schemes have been proposed, such as the EPR scheme [2][3], the $4 + 2$ protocol [4], the six-state protocol [5], the Goldenberg /Vaidman scheme [6], Koashi/Imoto scheme [7], and the recent protocol [8] and so on. But most of protocol need transport particles.

In this paper, we present a new method in which the communicators use entanglement swapping [9] to distribute the quantum cryptographic random key and use the correlations of entanglement swapping results to detect the eavesdropper. There are two merits than the previous protocol in our scheme, 1. if the communicators shared enough known entangled pairs before the key distribution, sender (*Alice*) need not send any particle to receiver (*Bob*). 2. The efficiency can approach 4 bit secret communication per 2 entangled pairs (in *BB84* protocol, it is only 1 bit per pair particle).

* lichong@student.dlut.edu.cn

2 protocol

Entanglement swapping has been proposed by Zukowski et al.[9] for two entangled pairs in one of the *Bell* states. Entanglement swapping works as follows. Consider two pairs of entangled particles 1,2,3 and 4, prepared in *Bell* states respectively $|\phi^+\rangle_{12}$ and $|\psi^-\rangle_{34}$. If a *Bell* operator measurement is performed on particle 2 and particles 3, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi\rangle_{1234} &= |\phi^+\rangle_{12} \otimes |\psi^-\rangle_{34} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{ |\phi^+\rangle_{23} |\psi^-\rangle_{14} + |\phi^-\rangle_{23} |\psi^+\rangle_{14} - |\psi^+\rangle_{23} |\phi^-\rangle_{14} - |\psi^-\rangle_{23} |\phi^+\rangle_{14} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

From above equation, we found the four possible result $|\phi^+\rangle_{23}, |\phi^-\rangle_{23}, |\psi^+\rangle_{23}$ and $|\psi^-\rangle_{23}$ have the same probability to occur. In fact, the outcome of each measurement is purely random. Suppose that the result $|\phi^+\rangle_{23}$ is obtained, consequently the state of the pair 1 and 4 after the measurement is $|\psi^-\rangle_{14}$. Therefore, the state of 1 and 4 become s entangled although they have never interacted.

Recently entanglement swapping has been used in quantum key distribution[10]. Although there are 3 entangled pairs in protocol[10], yet *Alice* must send particles to *Bob*. Here we propose a quantum key distribution scheme without particles transported. The proposed protocol for quantum key distribution is illustrated in Fig. 1 and it is described as follows. The parties, *Alice* and *Bob*, initially share $2n$ *EPR* pairs in known entangled states $|\eta\rangle_{AB}$, $|\eta\rangle_{AB}$ is one of the four *Bell* states. *Alice* and *Bob* divide all entangled pairs into n ordered groups, every group include 2 entangled pairs. *Alice* and *Bob* agree beforehand that $|\phi^+\rangle, |\psi^-\rangle, |\psi^+\rangle, |\phi^-\rangle$ are encoded as 00,01,10,11 respectively. They can perform the series operations as followings, (*Alice* own particle 1 and particle 3, the particle 2 and particle 4 belong to *Bob*.)

1. *Alice* made a *Bell* operator measurement on particle 1 and particle 3.

For example, we can assumed initially the state of particle 1 and particle 2 is $|\phi^+\rangle_{AB}^{12}$, and the state of particle 3 and particle 4 is $|\psi^+\rangle_{AB}^{34}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi^+\rangle_{AB}^{12} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|00\rangle_{AB}^{12} + |11\rangle_{AB}^{12}) \text{ and} \\ |\psi^+\rangle_{AB}^{34} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|01\rangle_{AB}^{34} + |10\rangle_{AB}^{34}). \end{aligned}$$

Alice perform *Bell* operator measurement on particle 1 and particle 3, and record the measurement.

2. *Alice* calculated out the state of particle 2 and particle 4.

Suppose that *Alice's Bell* operator measurement is $|\psi^+\rangle_{AA}^{13}$, she can obtain *Bob's Bell* operator measured result $|\phi^+\rangle_{BB}^{24}$ by the following equation,

$$|\Phi\rangle_{ABAB}^{1234} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |\phi^+\rangle_{AA}^{13} |\psi^+\rangle_{BB}^{24} + |\phi^-\rangle_{AA}^{13} |\psi^-\rangle_{BB}^{24} + |\psi^+\rangle_{AA}^{13} |\phi^+\rangle_{BB}^{24} + |\psi^-\rangle_{AA}^{13} |\phi^-\rangle_{BB}^{24} \right\}. \quad (2)$$

Alice decode the *Bell* operator measured results, she get 10 and 00.

3. *Alice* told *Bob* she had made *Bell* operator measurement on her particles, but did not tell him the measured result by classical channel.

4. *Bob* performed a *Bell* operator measurement on particle 2 and particle 4 and calculated *Alice's Bell* operator measurement result.

After he captured *Alice's* classical information, *Bob* make *Bell* operator measurement on particle 2 and 4. He get the measured result $|\phi^+\rangle_{BB}^{24}$, and he obtain *Alice's Bell* operator measurement $|\psi^+\rangle_{AA}^{13}$ from the eq.(2). So *Bob* can gain the bits 10 and 00.

they perform above process repeatedly,

5. *Bob* chose some results and sent them to *Alice*, then *Alice* compared *Bob's* results with her corresponding results, she can find if there is eavesdropper.

The important point is that the efficiency of the quantum key distribution has been improved in this protocol. From above operations, We know, that *Alice* shared 4 bits with *Bob* by two entangled pairs. This way largely improves the efficiency of the distribution for cryptographic key. The efficiency can reach 1 bit per particle, it is higher than the previous protocol, for example, in BB84 protocol it is 1 bit per pair, and in B92 protocol it is 1 bit per two pairs.

3 Security

How secure is this protocol? Now we discuss the security of our protocol against three types of attacks.

Type I. General eavesdropping

Suppose that, *Eve*, the eavesdropper, can get secretly the classical information of *Alice* and *Bob* and know all the states of the quantum channel. If *Alice* and *Bob* do not publish their's *Bell* operator measured result, eavesdropper (*Eve*) can not get the information from the known entangled channels. For example, both the quantum channels are the same *Bell* states $|\phi^+\rangle_{AB}^{12}$ and $|\phi^+\rangle_{AB}^{34}$. By the entanglement swapping calculation, we know the state of these entangled particles is

$$|\Phi\rangle_{ABAB}^{1234} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |\phi^+\rangle_{AA}^{13} |\phi^+\rangle_{BB}^{24} + |\phi^-\rangle_{AA}^{13} |\phi^-\rangle_{BB}^{24} + |\psi^+\rangle_{AA}^{13} |\psi^+\rangle_{BB}^{24} + |\psi^-\rangle_{AA}^{13} |\psi^-\rangle_{BB}^{24} \right\}. \quad (3)$$

Eve have many entangled pairs in state $|\phi^+\rangle_{EE}$, she performed *Bell* operator measurement on her particles 1', 2', 3' and 4',

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Phi/\rangle_{EBEB}^{1/2/3/4/} &= \frac{1}{2} \{ |\phi^+\rangle_{EE}^{1/3/} |\phi^+\rangle_{BB}^{2/4/} \\
&\quad + |\phi^-\rangle_{EE}^{1/3/} |\phi^-\rangle_{BB}^{2/4/} + |\psi^+\rangle_{EE}^{1/3/} |\psi^+\rangle_{BB}^{2/4/} + |\psi^-\rangle_{EE}^{1/3/} |\psi^-\rangle_{BB}^{2/4/} \}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The four *Bell* operator measurements in eq.[3] and [4] are equally likely, each occurring with probability $\frac{1}{4}$. The probability, *Eve's Bell* operator measurements on particles 1' and 3' is same as *Alice's Bell* operator measurements on particles 1 and 3, is only $\frac{1}{4}$. That is to say, the probability, *Eve* eavesdrop $4n$ bits and is not detected by *Alice* or *Bob*, is only $\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n$. When n is large enough, this probability is 0 approximately.

Type II. Share the quantum channel.

If the *Eve* were smart enough, she made the state $|\phi^+\rangle'_{ABE} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle_{AB}|0\rangle_E + |11\rangle_{AB}|1\rangle_E)$ instead of $|\phi^+\rangle_{AB}$, when *Alice* made a *Bell* operator measurement on particle 1 and particle 3, the state became

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Psi\rangle_{ABE}^{123456} &= |\phi^+\rangle'_{ABE} \otimes |\phi^+\rangle'_{ABE} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \{ |\phi^+\rangle_{AA}^{13} (|\phi^+\rangle_{BB}^{24} |\phi^+\rangle_{EE}^{56} + |\phi^-\rangle_{BB}^{24} |\phi^-\rangle_{EE}^{56}) \\
&\quad + |\phi^-\rangle_{AA}^{13} (|\phi^+\rangle_{BB}^{24} |\phi^-\rangle_{EE}^{56} + |\phi^-\rangle_{BB}^{24} |\phi^+\rangle_{EE}^{56}) \\
&\quad + |\psi^+\rangle_{AA}^{13} (|\psi^+\rangle_{BB}^{24} |\psi^+\rangle_{EE}^{56} + |\psi^-\rangle_{BB}^{24} |\psi^-\rangle_{EE}^{56}) \\
&\quad + |\psi^-\rangle_{AA}^{13} (|\psi^+\rangle_{BB}^{24} |\psi^-\rangle_{EE}^{56} + |\psi^-\rangle_{BB}^{24} |\psi^+\rangle_{EE}^{56}) \}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Compare eq.[3] with eq.[5], we found if there is an eavesdropper, there is only $\frac{1}{2}$ probability that the two results are same. *Bob* can send some results randomly to *Alice*; then *Alice* will be sure that there is an eavesdropper if she finds different results—they would then abandon this key. That is, *Eve* cannot gain any information about the key.

Type III. Replace the quantum channel

If *Eve* shared the entangled pairs $|\phi^+\rangle_{AE}^{ij}$ with *Alice* instead of *Bob*, and *Eve* shared entangled pairs $|\phi^+\rangle_{EB}^{i'j'}$ with *Bob*, before the key supply, both *Alice* and *Bob* would be unaware of this. Then the process of key distribution become

$$|\Phi\rangle_{AEAE}^{1234} = \frac{1}{2} \{ |\phi^+\rangle_{AA}^{13} |\phi^+\rangle_{EE}^{24} + |\phi^-\rangle_{AA}^{13} |\phi^-\rangle_{EE}^{24} + |\psi^+\rangle_{AA}^{13} |\psi^+\rangle_{EE}^{24} + |\psi^-\rangle_{AA}^{13} |\psi^-\rangle_{EE}^{24} \} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\left| \Phi \right\rangle_{E B E B}^{1' 2' 3' 4'} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left| \phi^+ \right\rangle_{E E}^{1' 3'} \left| \phi^+ \right\rangle_{B B}^{2' 4'} + \left| \phi^- \right\rangle_{E E}^{1' 3'} \left| \phi^- \right\rangle_{B B}^{2' 4'} + \left| \psi^+ \right\rangle_{E E}^{1' 3'} \left| \psi^+ \right\rangle_{B B}^{2' 4'} + \left| \psi^- \right\rangle_{E E}^{1' 3'} \left| \psi^- \right\rangle_{B B}^{2' 4'} \right\}. \quad (7)$$

From Eq.(6) and Eq.(7), we know that, the probability, which *Bell* operator measurement of particle 1 and 3 is same as particle 1' and 3', is only $\frac{1}{4}$, when *Bob* send some his measurement results to *Alice*, *Alice* can find if there is eavesdropper.

So we can say this protocol is secure.

4 Conclusion

We have given an effective quantum key distribution protocol, if the two legitimate parties shared much enough entangled pairs before the random key distribution, then there is no particle to be transported. The efficiency can approach 1 bit secret communication per particle.

References

- [1] C.H.Bennett, G.Brassard, in proc IEEE int conf on Computers System, and Signal Processing, Bangalore (IEEE, New York, 1984)P175
- [2] A.K.Ekert, Phys.Rev.Lett **67** (1991)661
- [3] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard and N. D. Mermin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68** (1992) 557. C.H.Bennett, Phys.Rev.Lett **68** (1992)3121
- [4] B. Huttner, N. Imoto, N. Gisin and T. Mor, *Phys. Rev. A* **51** (1995) 1863.
- [5] D. Bruß, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81** (1998) 3018.
- [6] L. Goldenberg and L. Vaidman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75** (1995) 1239
- [7] M. Koashi and N. Imoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997) 2383.
- [8] G. L. Long and X.S. Liu, quant-ph/0012056
- [9] M. Zukowski, A. Zeilinger, M. A. Horne, and A. K. Ekert, Phys. Rev. Lett **71**, 4287 (1993).
- [10] A.Cabello, Phys. Rev. **A 61** (2000) 052312
- [11] C.Li, H.S.Song and Y.X.Luo, Phys. Lett. **A 297** (2002) 121

Fig.1 Random quantum key distribution protocol